U.S. Patent Application Serial No. 10/807,178 Response to Final OA dated July 22, 2008

## <u>REMARKS</u>

## **CLAIM OBJECTIONS:**

In the Office Action Claim 5 is objected to because "the case" in line 12 should be changed to --the second case-- in order to clarify the claimed invention because there are two cases recited in the claim.

Claim 5 is amended as suggested by the Examiner. Removal of this objection is respectfully requested.

## **DRAWINGS**:

In the Office Action the drawings are objected to under 37 C.F.R. 1.83(A) because the drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the feature, "a part area" in line 6 of Claim 1 and line 8 of Claim 5 must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s).

It is respectfully submitted that FIGS. 1-2 and 4-5 show the claimed portable wireless terminals when substantially the entire front surface of the first case is covered, which satisfies the claimed condition "at least a part area". Therefore, it is believed that the feature of concern is shown in the drawings.

In order to more clearly describe the arrangement of the cases, the specification is amended to more clearly disclose the first and second posture and how the second case covers the first case,

U.S. Patent Application Serial No. 10/807,178 Response to Final OA dated July 22, 2008

when closed. It is respectfully submitted that support for amending the specification is found in the original claims.

In view of the above remarks, removal of the objection is respectfully requested.

In the Office Action Claims 1-3 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which Applicants regard as the invention. Reconsideration and removal of this rejection is respectfully requested.

Claims 1 and 5 are amended to more clearly define the claimed invention. It is respectfully submitted that support for the amendments is found at least in FIGS. 1-2 and 4-5.

In view of the amendments to Claims 1 and 5, and the above remarks, removal of the rejection is respectfully requested.

In the Office Action Claims 1-3 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. Reconsideration and removal of this rejection is respectfully requested.

It is respectfully submitted that the amendment to Claim 1, at line 8, to remove the words "the part area of" and to Claim 5, at line 9, to remove the words "the part area of" and the

U.S. Patent Application Serial No. 10/807,178 Response to Final OA dated July 22, 2008

amendment to the specification, beginning at page 4, line 1, overcomes this rejection. It is believed that the now claimed portable wireless terminal, does not have the impossible situation described by the Examiner. It is respectfully submitted that support for the amendment is found at least in FIGS. 1-2 and 4-5.

In view of the amendments to Claims 1 and 5, and the above remarks, removal of the rejection is respectfully requested.

In view of the aforementioned amendments and accompanying remarks, Claims 1-3 and 5, as amended, are believed to be patentable and in condition for allowance, which action, at an early date, is requested.

If, for any reason, it is felt that this application is not now in condition for allowance, the Examiner is requested to contact the Applicants' undersigned attorney at the telephone number indicated below to arrange for an interview to expedite the disposition of this case.

U.S. Patent Application Serial No. 10/807,178 Response to Final OA dated July 22, 2008

In the event that this paper is not timely filed, the Applicants respectfully petition for an appropriate extension of time. Please charge any fees for such an extension of time and any other fees which may be due with respect to this paper, to Deposit Account No. 01-2340.

Respectfully submitted,

KRATZ, QUINTOS & HANSON, LLP

James N. Baker, Reg. No. 40,899

for Mel R. Quintos Attorney for Applicant Reg. No. 31,898

Atty. Docket No. **040150** Suite 400 1420 K Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20005 (202) 659-2930

2383U
PATENT & TRADEMARK OFFICE